

A Primer on

Hydroponic Cut Tulips

Hydroponic tulip forcing has many foreseeable applications in North America, including both large-scale grower production and small-scale production at the retail level. Find out if this system of production is right for you.



Top: Tulip bulbs planted in the Bulbfust "pin-tray." At this point, bulbs have received about 80 percent of their cold requirement (ca. 12-14 weeks). They have been pressed onto the pins, and calcium nitrate at an EC of 1.0 has been added. The tray will be placed back into the cooler at 40° F for an additional 2-3 weeks of rooting prior to greenhouse forcing; Bottom: Hydroponic tulip crop upon moving to the greenhouse. At this point, bulbs have received their full cooling and will be flowering in ca. 21 days. (All photos courtesy of Bill Miller)

By Bill Miller

Tulip are a good example of a flower bulb crop that can be adapted to hydroponic culture. In Holland, approximately 30-35 percent of the cut tulip crop is forced hydroponically, and we have been evaluating this production method at Cornell over the past two seasons. While our experiences have been very positive, there are several important details to understand and act upon before a high-quality crop can be produced.

HYDROPONIC BULB BASICS

The basic procedure with hydroponic tulip forcing is to give approximately 75-80 percent of the cold requirement to dry, unplanted bulbs (see Figure 1, below). Depending on the cultivar and time of year, this might be 12-14 weeks. Bulbs are then "planted" into the system, and a dilute calcium nitrate solution is added for rooting (about 1.0-1.2 mmhos/cm^2). Rooting proceeds at 40° F for 3-4 weeks for early crops or 2-3 weeks for later crops. After the entire cold requirement has been provided, bulbs are moved into the greenhouse for forcing. The plants are then fed with calcium nitrate, with the goal of maintaining an EC of 1.2-1.5 mmhos/cm^2.

It is important to realize that the longer the rooting period (above 2-4 weeks), the lower the eventual quality of the flower. This is because longer roots cause more rapid oxygen depletion of the solution and become more susceptible to disease. Also, the longer and more entangled the roots are, the more difficult harvest is (harvesting one stem pulls up many more with entangled roots). Realizing the relatively small root system needed to produce a good-quality plant is the key to successful hydroponic tulip production; the small root size is probably much less than is necessary for cut tulips in soil- or peat-based forcing.

Figure 1. Basic scheme for forcing hydroponic cut tulips.



Compared to traditional “soil” culture in “boxes” (where bulbs are planted in crates, cooled, then forced), hydroponic forcing has the following advantages:

• it is 3-5 days faster than soil culture;

• much less cooler volume is required for chilling bulbs (because most of the cold period is given to densely packed, unplanted tulips in their shipping crates); and

• harvesting is easier and cleaner — there is no wasted soil at the end, greatly reducing material handling problems.

Why do hydroponic plants force faster than plants grown in traditional soil culture? It is not due to any inherent superiority of hydroponics; it is simply due to the prevailing temperature (ca. 40° F) of the plants during the 2- to 4-week rooting period. This is 6-8 degrees warmer than is typical during the last few weeks of cooling, where, normally, temperatures of 32-33° F might prevail to reduce stem growth in the cooler. These few degrees over a 2- to 4-week period can easily account for the reduced crop time in the greenhouse. Plus, in traditional cut flower forcing in boxes, the mass of the bulbs and soil is substantial, probably taking 1-2 days to warm to prevailing greenhouse temperatures.

The disadvantages of hydroponic forcing are:

• When grown at the same temperature, the ultimate quality of the stem is not quite as good as when the same cultivar is grown in soil (hydro stems tend to be 1-2 inches shorter and 6-8 percent lighter compared to substrate-grown stems);

• not all cultivars are suited to this system;

• very high-quality and disease-free bulbs are required, especially for later plantings (careful attention must be placed on proper bulb storage, including temperature, humidity and ventilation);

• especially for individual trays, a level bench or tray support system is critical to maintain a level nutrient solution (old, uneven benches won’t cut it); and

• the need for exceptional cleanliness. The trays and components are sometimes difficult to wash and sanitize (although, on a large scale, a machine could be used for this).

OPTIONS AND SOLUTIONS

The weight and length issues of hydroponic tulips are solvable problems, and ongoing work in

Below are contact numbers for three of the major suppliers of hydroponic forcing systems in The Netherlands. In addition, your bulb supplier may be consulted for additional sources and information.

BulbFust
011-31-227-603582
E-mail: info@bulbfust.nl

Ons-Belang
011-31-252-343536
E-mail: onsbelang@flowerbulb.nl

Potveer
011-31-229-542324
E-mail: info@potveer.nl

These numbers are provided for convenience only and are not intended to be an endorsement. Similarly, omission of information on other manufacturers is not to be taken as criticism of their products.

The Netherlands indicates that adjustments in rooting period and aeration can compensate for most of the weight and length reduction.

After considering the biological merits of hydroponic forcing, thought must be given to the hydro system itself. A tray designed by the Bulbfust Company (24 x 16 inches, approximately four inches tall, designed to fit inside a black plastic bulb crate) is still the major one used in The Netherlands. It is characterized by a grid of plastic "pins" that the bulb is pressed onto for upright support. The tray has two drainage holes to maintain the proper solution depth when the tray is level. A number of other systems are available from manufacturers in The Netherlands. These systems are very similar in appearance to large plug trays and come in a variety of sizes to match the size of the bulb being forced (4-5 inches, etc).

As expected, each system has its own plusses and minuses. The Bulbfust "pin tray" has proven to be popular because it is durable, and the pins, while causing some injury to the bulbs, are usable for nearly all sizes of bulbs and provide an infinite number of spacing and arrangement options. The plug-like trays are designed for specific bulb sizes, and multiple trays are needed if a company forces different sizes of bulbs. In either case, there are two components to handle: the crate and the water tray itself (though this is being solved by new, larger-scale systems designed to utilize larger ebb-and-flow greenhouse benches).

Our research at Cornell has indicated that static nutrient solution (as is characteristic in individual trays) is often difficult to maintain at optimal EC, aeration and pH levels. The volume of solution in each tray is only about 10 liters; this is not a lot of solution for 60-80 tulips. We adapted our irrigation and fertilization practices such that new calcium nitrate solution (at an EC of 1.2) was used during the week, and only clear water was applied on weekends. In this way, we were able to maintain the EC at an acceptable level and grow excellent-quality tulips.

And even though the solution in hydroponic trays is only about 1.5-2 inches deep, it is possible for the dissolved oxygen level in the solution to drop sufficiently low that root growth is reduced. Research in The Netherlands at the Zwaagdijk experiment station confirms this and has demonstrated the expected advantages of larger nutrient reservoirs with solution that flows constantly over the roots. In this way, there is a greater buffering of nutrients, a slower rate of change of pH and EC, and better aeration of the solution. Thus, it should be easy to adapt existing



Left: Several cultivars of hydroponic tulips about a week after moving to the greenhouse; Center: Example of the root mass that is typical on hydroponic tulips. Also visible is the grid of "pins" that are characteristic of the Bulbfust hydroponic system; Right: Harvesting of hydroponic tulips in The Netherlands. Notice the lack of soil and very clean roots and stem.

Left: A partial assortment of hydroponic forcing inserts ("plug inserts") for forcing. Note different sizes of holes and bulbs; Center: 'Monte Carlo' tulips forced in Bulbfust hydroponic trays without supplemental aeration in 2001; Right: 'Friso' tulips grown in the Bulbfust hydroponic system. The bulb on the left is from a tray given supplemental aeration from a small aquarium pump and airstone; the bulb on the right is a control plant, with no supplemental aeration. Aeration given for approximately seven days before this photo was taken.

ebb-and-flow benches to hydroponic tulip production. An indication of the plant and root response to simple aeration of the solution is shown above. Interestingly, the dissolved oxygen level of our non-aerated treatment was still above the minimum needed for good growth of hydroponic lettuce crops; perhaps this indicates tulips have an especially high dissolved oxygen requirement for growth. Currently, the answer to this question is unknown.

THE FUTURE FOR HYDROPONIC TULIPS

It is easy to see hydroponic tulip production continuing to increase worldwide. In the United States and Canada, one can envision its use for large-scale production with all the advantages noted in this article. It is also easy to see it as an interesting component for smaller retail greenhouse operations, where a few trays could be forced weekly to provide very high-quality, locally produced products. Because a smaller cooler volume is needed, capital costs are lower, making it easier to get into cut tulip production. Eliminating the direct cost of the substrate and the associated handling costs probably allows for payment of the hydroponic trays in two years (although costs and savings would vary tremendously between companies). Our own experience with hydroponic tulips at Cornell has been very positive, and in most cases, the advantages more than compensate for the negative aspects of this way of forcing. One thing is clear: An ultra-fresh cut flower tulip is a beautiful thing and is rarely seen by most consumers in North America. There would seem to be many opportunities to incorporate hydroponics into the product mix of many smaller growers. GPN

Thanks are expressed to the Dutch Exporters Association for flowerbulbs and nursery stock, and SePRO, Uniroyal and Valent USA for financial and material assistance with aspects of the research reported herein. Also thanks to Cornell's Barbara Stewart and Jeffrey Wagemaker and Pieter Heemskerk, two Dutch student interns involved with this work.

Bill Miller is professor of flowerbulb and greenhouse crop physiology in the Department of Horticulture, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y. He can be reached by phone at (607) 255-1799 or E-mail at wbm8@cornell.edu.

LearnMore!
For more information related to this article, go to www.onhort.com/LM.CFM/gp080201